The extra-galactic hard X-ray sky as painted by INTEGRAL

Pietro Ubertini

on behalf of the IBIS survey/AGN team

INTEGRAL USER GROUP ESTEC, 10-11 December 2009



lstituto di Astrotistea Speziela Astea Cosmica - noma



The extragalactic sky in the 4th IBIS catalogue



AGN distribution more than 250 sources, ~10% z>0.1 (more objects to be included as follow-up work progresses)



Cat 4 X-ray follow-up already started

IGR J13107-5626



Results of *Swift*/XRT follow-up

IBIS Source	Classification
IGR J00465-4005	AGN, absorbed
LEDA 96373	AGN, Seyfert 2
IGR J08262+4051	AGN candidate
MCG+04-26-006	AGN, LINER
PKS 1143-696	AGN candidate, QSO ?
IGR J1248.2-5828	AGN, absorbed ?
NGC 4748	AGN, NLS1
IGR J13107-5626	AGN, absorbed ?
IGR J14080-3023	AGN, Seyfert 1.5
1RXS J213944.3+595016	AGN, unabsorbed
IGR J22234-4116	AGN candidate

(Landi et al. 2009)

Some interesting sources



↓ First type 2 Compton thick QSO detected by *INTEGRAL* ? (Fiocchi et al. 2009)

Cat 4 optical follow-up also started

(Parisi et al. 2009, Masetti et al. 2009)





Identified sources

55%

10%

10%

25%



 We have started to find optical counterparts for the 4th IBIS survey catalogue

 We already found 23 optical counterparts reducing the number of unidentified sources by ~10%

around half are AGN of some type!

1/3 at *z*>0.1 start to go deeper!!

AGNs CVs HMXBs Other

New AGN classifications

(Parisi et al. 2009, Masetti et al. 2009)

Optical follow up finds classical Seyferts but also



Why high energy AGN surveys are important ?

A new window to discover the heavily obscured sources and new bright AGNs

Best definition of Nh distribution for various AGN class

High energy coverage

Spectral information on Ec, R and constrain on Γ

Absorbed AGN could be missed in X-ray surveys



With X-ray follow-up

- AGN modeling
- Unified theory, torus studies, etc.
- X-ray Background (XRB) studies

CXB-XRB studies

With a proper mixture of absorbed, unabsorbed and Compton thick AGN it is possible to reproduce the XRB



Red -> unobscured, Blue -> Compton Thin, Black -> Compton Thick (Gilli, Comastri & Hasinger, 2007) BUT... Tuning of all parameters very delicate

Input needed are:

- $\cdot N_H$ distribution
- Number of Compton thick AGN
- Spectral shape of each AGN class (Γ, R, Ec and their dispersions)

Complete AGN sample can provide all these information

Some Results from the Third IBIS survey: Complete sample of 88 AGN (Malizia et al. 2009)

88 objects detected in the 20-40 keV band with significance greater than 5.2σ:
46 type 1 (Seyfert 1 - 1.5 included 5 NLS1), 33 type 2 (Seyfert 1.8-2),
9 Blazars (QSO-BL Lac)



Hard X-ray luminosity versus redshift. Circles: type 1 objects Squares: type 2 objects Triangles: Blazars





absorption in 43% of the sample

6 Compton thick i.e. 7% of the sample

The 'missing' Compton thick sources

Reduction of flux as a function of N_{H} i.e.

the fraction of absorbed sources as a function of redshift



The AGN of the complete sample Has been divided into 5 bins of redshift (up to z=0.335 i.e. excluding the sources with Log ($L_{20-100keV}$) > 46) chosen in order to have a reasonable number of sources in each bin.

A clear tend of decreasing fraction of absorbed objects as the redshift increases is evident !!

The Local Universe (z<0.015)

This evidence indicates that to come closer to the true picture it is <u>incorrect</u> to consider the whole AGN sample to estimate the role of the absorption.

In the entire sample we lose the heavily absorbed *counterparts* of distant and therefore dim sources with little or no absorption.

Therefore <mark>only in the first bin</mark> (at low redshift) we are seeing almost the entire population of AGN: from unabsorbed to at least mildly Compton thick sources (Log(N_H)≈24)

In the INTEGRAL AGN complete sample there are 25 sources with z≤0.015

20 AGN have Log(NH)≥22: 80% are absorbed

6 AGN have Log (NH)≥24: 24% are Compton thick

i.e. 1 out of 4 AGNs in the Local Universe is Compton Thick

Broad band spectral information on all AGN in complete sample



Determine Γ, Ec, R distribution in 36 type 1 AGN (Molina et al. 2009)



Conclusions on Type 1 objects

✤ First broad-band spectral analysis of a COMPLETE SAMPLE of type 1 detected in the 20-40 keV band by INTEGRAL.

✤ N_H generally small or absent, but some examples of complex absorption.

 $< < \Gamma >= 1.7 \pm 0.2$, flatter than canonical value of 1.9.

☆ <R>~1.5±0.7, slightly higher than in previous results and in CXB modelling.

* Narrow Fe K α , except in 15% of the cases.

EW generally below 100 eV

✤ No correlations found in the parameter space, except a weak one between R and EW.

* Estimate of plasma temperature in the range 20–80 keV (2–9x10⁹K), with optical depth in the range 1 to 4.

* New self-consistent set of parameters for synthesis models of CXB.

First results of broad band observations with NEW XMM-Newton data obtained in AO7 - AO8 for 7 sources and IBIS +BAT spectra



Some preliminary results on broad band analysis on Seyfert 2 galaxies



Heavily and moderately absorption gas
Compton reflection features definition: reflection hump &

iron line



High energy observations of Narrow Line Seyfert 1s

(Malizia et al. 2008)

Five Hard X-ray selected NLSy1s: Analysis of their IBIS spectra combined with Swift/XRT





- Steep power law spectrum above 10 keVAbsent or absorbed soft excess
- Low high energy cut-off (3/5: 30-50 keV)

New XMM and Suzaku observations of hard X-ray selected/discovered NLSy1



A nice example: SWIFT J2127.4+5654



Blazars in CAT4 under study

(De Rosa et al. 2009)

source	F _{20-40KeV}	F _{40-100keV}	Ζ	type
1ES 0033+59.5	1.3	0.9	0.086	HBL
RX J0137.7+5814	0.4	<0.4		
SWIFT j0218.0+7348	1.2	1.9	2.367	BLlac
IGR J03532-6820	1.3	<0.8	0.09	BLLac
QSO B0836+710	2.4	4.2	2.172	FSRQ
MKN 421	25.6	19.4	0.03	HBL
4C 04.42	0.8	1.8	0.965	FSRQ
3C 273	10.4	12.3	0.158	FSRQ
3C 279	1.1	1.4	0.5362	FSRQ
H 1426+428	1.0	1.1	0.129	HBL
MKN 501	3.1	2.1	0.03366	S HBL
SWIFT 1656.3-3302	1.4	2.1	2.40	FSRQ
PKS J1830-211	2.4	3.2	2.705	FSRQ
1RXS J192450.8-2914;	37 0.9	0.8	0.352	FSRQ
QSO B1933-400	0.5	1.0	0.965	FSRQ?
PKS 2149-306	1.2	1.7	2.345	FSRQ
BI Lac	1.3	1.5	0.0686	BLLac
IGR J22517+2218	1.3	2.3	3.668	FSRQ
3C 454.3	9.4	12.8	0.859	FSRQ

4C 04.42 a key study (De Rosa et al. 2008)



Energy Budget

 $v_{BC} = \Gamma^2 v_{BLR} / (1+z) ~ 1 \text{ keV}$ $L_{BC} = 4/3\sigma c \delta^4 U_{BLR} \Gamma^2 N_{cold}$ $~ 10^{46} N_{cold} / N_{rel},$ $L_{BC} ~ 10^{45} \text{ erg/s}$ $=> N_{cold} / N_{rel} ~ 0.1$

Multifrequency program: INTEGRAL & AGILE (quick look courtesy of L. Pacciani)+ public Fermi

X and Gamma-rays photon index distribution IBIS vs FERMI



Following the spectral sequence, gamma and X-ray spectral ranges match different emission processes in blue and red blazars

Future prospects

Study of absorption in combined IBIS/BAT AGN samples
Update broad band analysis of Seyfert 1s in complete sample
Finish broad band analysis of Seyfert 2s in complete sample
Study in detail all Narrow Line Seyfert in CAT4
Analyse SED of Blazars in more detail including Fermi/Agile data
Multiwaveband studies (radio, Infrared etc..)
Keep working on CAT4 AGN data
Work ... work ... work..

and FERMI is doing something for INTEGRAL: trigger
 TOO on giant flares from BLLac and FSRQ →
 3C454.3 was 13 mCrab yesterday ...was 50 before!!

Extragalactic gamma ray astronomy has only just started......

Inportance of hard X-ray blazar selection

•LAT BL Lacs and high-z FSRQs have the same average flux in hard X–rays

- High-z FSRQs are brighter in hard-X rays wrt gamma rays
- LAT BL Lacs are the least luminous

• Comparing high–z BAT and LAT FSRQs, in the entire X–ray band, we see that BAT blazars are more powerful than LAT blazars

