IBIS mask calibration: status and perspectives

IBIS/ISGRI Paris-Saclay team

Improvements of the imaging performances of the IBIS software with OSA 9



OSA 8 (Crab on-axis) OSA 9 (Crab on-axis)

Improvements of the imaging performances of the IBIS software with OSA 9



...but there still are residuals

Excluded regions in OSA 9



Excluded regions: white



Components:

- bolts and screws
- potting
- mask borders



Optimizing the excluded regions



But the mask 'radiography' shows the complex geometry of the mask transparency.

We need to:

- minimize the rejected signal (to minimize the loss of effective area);
- refine the rejected area (to properly take into account the geometry and transparency of the defects)

Exclusion mask: loss of effective area

One source (Crab)

- on-axis loss ~2.2%
- maximum loss ~4.5% (< 10° off-axis)





Exclusion mask: not enough area excluded



Screw projection 6.3 mm @ 4.5 deg 17 mm @ 12 deg

- The exclusion region due to the screws should be one-sided
- Screw projection overcoming the exclusion region
- A treatment depending on the off-axis and roll angle is required even in the FCFOV



exclusion region 3.8 mm

For a significant improvement over OSA 9, we need to characterize the transparency of the mask at a ~1% level

To reach this goal, we are:

- accumulating large exposure of the mask,1 Ms per mask corner, adding up Crab and Cyg X-1 archival data and possibly new observations (modification of the Galactic latitude scans in AO-8 not accepted by the PI)
- modeling the mask defects (geometry and absorption) to implement a new mask model in the IBIS software





IBIS mask calibration with AO–8 open time observations: unsuccessful attempt (I)

Broad view on high energy Galactic background: Galactic latitude scans at I=55 deg (ID 0820029, PI: A. A. Lutovinov)



Raster pattern: 139 useful pointings with increased (2 \rightarrow 3.6 ks) exposure



IBIS mask calibration with AO–8 open time observations: unsuccessful attempt (II)

- In coordination with ISOC, simulations to modify the planned observation
 → observations in April-May 2011 for the maximum calibration return
- December 2010: request presented at the IUG meeting
- February 2011: finalization of the written report with the proposal
- March 2011: PI contacted through the IUG
- End of March 2011: SPI annealing puts new constraints on the possible observing window, i.e. April 11-19 only possible period in April
 → recalculation of the calibration proposal
- April 7-8: last minute rescheduling at ISOC to be ready in case of positive answer from the PI
- April 10: negative answer from the PI

Where we are with the mask exposure [Msec]



Effective exposure time before February 2009

Effective exposure time up to February 2011

Ζ

Perspectives

 Dedicated mask calibration? Rev. 968 200 70 ks/rev. per corner (2 corners/rev, as e.g. in rev. 968) 100 1117 i 59 <u>69</u> • Implementation in OSA: SZ 0 1) modify the exclusion mask used by ghost buster \rightarrow finer sampling -1002) take into account the thickness of the mask defects -200

-200

-100

0 ys 100

200

- 3) energy dependent exclusion mask?
- Working right now on 1) and 2)