Mission Extension 2012

Preparations

Items for consideration



Mission extension 2012

- 18 November 2010: ESA's Science Programme Committee unanimously approved an extension of INTEGRAL operations until 31 December 2012. SPC also approved an extension until 31 December 2014, subject to a mid-term review in 2012.
- Assume same format of the process as in 2010 (TBC, SRE/O)
- In 2010 (Fall) the following was sent from D/SRE to Advisory Structure:
 - Document "Mission extension request for INTEGRAL" + 2 appendices
 - 7 pages (figures and references were contained in the 2 appendices)
 - Introduction (0.5 page) -
 - Science case (5 pages)
 - S/c, payload and g/s status (1 page)
 - Other items (0.5 page)
 - MFOR recommendations
 - National funding status
 - Financial request (ESA costs)
 - IUG recommendation on mission extension
- The new request will include a re-confirmation of the 'earmarked' budget for 2013 and 2014 (see 2010 request), and a new request (new money) for 2015 and 2016. So, science case needs to cover 2013-2016 window.
- Note, the IUG is <u>not</u> submitting the request...



Science case (2010)— essential elements

- Science case uses about 80% of the request (i.e. 5 out of 7 pages)
- Should address two aspects, A & B:
 - **A:** "Impact to date" (25%):
 - Summary of selected key results (since launch), most recent ones first
 - **B:** "Expected return" (75%):
 - ♦ Provide clear account where future measurements wil be most productive beyond √(time) improvements
 - ♦ What will be learned from extension ?
 - Avoid focus of incremental science
 - If possible, tackle 'criticism' ("small community", " less broad nature of science")
 - ♦ INTEGRAL and other space-/ground-based missions



Mission Extension 2012 – lessons learned from 2010?

AWG recommendation, October 2010 (~ same/slightly better than AWG recommendation from October 2008)

- INTEGRAL continues to provide unique possibilities for studying the highenergy sky, in particular thanks to its imaging, spectral and polarimetric capabilities in the 20 keV to a few MeV range.
- No mission is planned in the near future to substitute INTEGRAL at energies above a few hundred keV. An extension of the INTEGRAL operations would enable new and interesting science.
- → However, while producing science of high quality, the community making use of INTEGRAL is <u>smaller</u> than for other missions (e.g., XMM-Newton or HST) and <u>the resulting science is of a somewhat less broad nature.</u>
- The AWG was impressed by the <u>innovativeness</u> of the INTEGRAL community as shown by recent results.
- The extension to the end of 2014 will benefit from the low particle background expected around solar maximum and the AWG recommends the extension of the mission.



The INTEGRAL request 2012 – some initial thoughts

- Update science case "Godfather" model again ? 3 main chapters: Nucleosynthesis/gamma-ray line emission, Galactic & Extragal. Astronomy
- Include brief section/paragraph with comparison "promised 2010 vs achieved 2010-2012"?
- INTEGRAL's role in >2012 (e.g. T. Maccarone "Concluding Remarks", Chia Laguna 2011)
 - Value of long uninterrupted observations
 - o "new" obs/analysis modes: polarimetry, diffuse emission studies /w IBIS (!)
 - Upcoming era of h.e. missions: without RXTE (de-commissioned 5 Jan 2012) and with NuStar and Astro-H (2012, 2013)
 - less "deep pointings on individual sources"?
 - highlight science that can either only be done, or much better be done, with INTEGRAL.
 - o INTEGRAL vs MAXI, Swift, Fermi-GBM as wide-field survey missions:
 - better sensitivity and angular resolution. Important in source-rich regions (e.g. GC): confusion limit!
 - detect faint transients with pointed INTEGRAL observations rather than with all-sky instruments. Example: detection of two ms-pulsars in faint, crowded regions with INTEGRAL.
 - new 'synergy' with e.g. LOFAR: Monitoring hard X-ray transient @ Radio + Hard X-ray energies
- Impact of Finref on ESA costs? How will this be handled?



Proposed activities and 2012 milestones (assume 2010 schedule)

2012	Activity
Feb/March	IUG to review 2010 science case and send comments to all. Discuss/define requirements to establish legacy
April/May	Write new section comparison "promised 2010 vs achieved 2010-2012"
May/June	Update science case (INTEGRAL's role in 2012+) & tech. part
May/June	Update appendix A & B (figures, references etc)
June	IUG: Review draft extension request – all 7 pages - incl science case <u>and</u> updated technical report, costs (indications) etc. Have working meeting in June.
July/August	Finalise science case and finalise technical report incl. costs
Aug/Sep	CW: Prepare presentation for AWG to summarize/highlight written science case, to clarify issues, answer questions
Sep	SRE/O to submit request for Director's approval, and send to advisory structure
16/17 Oct	AWG meeting (8!)
24 Oct	SSAC meeting
Nov Winkley LTUC L 10 Jan 1	SPC decision

C. Winkler | IUG | 19 Jan 2012